arXiv:1412.2095v1 [math.FA] 5 Dec 2014

A DOMAIN-THEORETIC BISHOP-PHELPS THEOREM

ILDAR SADEQI[1,*], ALI HASSANZADEH[2] AND ASGHAR RANJBARI[3]

Abstract. In this paper, the notion of c-support points of a set in a semitopological cone is introduced, and it's shown that any nonempty convex Scott closed bounded set has a c-support point in a cancellative bd-cone under certain condition. We also prove the Bishop-Phelps type theorem for cancellative continuous locally convex wd-cone.

1. Introduction

Domain theory which is based on logic and computer science, started as an outgrowth of theories of order. Progress in this domain rapidly required a lot of material on (non-Hausdorff) topologies. After about 40 years of domain theory, one is forced to recognize that topology and domain theory have been beneficial to each other [7], [5].

One of Klaus Keimel's many mathematical interests is the interaction between order theory and functional analysis. In recent years this has led to the beginnings of a domain-theoretic functional analysis, which may be considered to be a topic within positive analysis in the sense of Jimmie Lawson [10]. In the latter, notions of positivity and order play a key role, as do lower semicontinuity and (so) T_0 spaces. Some basic functional analytic tools were developed by Tix [16] and later on Plotkin and Keimel [17], [8] and [11] for these structures. The present paper contributes a domain-theoretic analogue of the classical Bishop-Phelps theorem for semitopological cone.

The theory of locally convex cones, with applications to Korovkin type approximation theory for positive operators and to vector-measure theory developed in the books by Keimel and Roth [9] and Roth [13], respectively.

Roth has written several papers in this area including his papers [12], [14] on Hahn-Banach type theorems for locally convex cones. Later, in her 1999 Ph.D. thesis [16], Keimel's student Regina Tix gave a domain-theoretic version of these theorems in the framework of d-cones, where the order is now that of a dcpo (directed complete partial order), and see also [15]. These Hahn-Banach theorems include sandwich-type theorems, separation theorems and extension theorems. Plotkin subsequently gave another separation theorem, which was incorporated, together with other improvements, into a revised version of Tix's thesis [17]. Finally, K. Keimel [8] improved the Hahn-Banach theorems to semitopological cones.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46N10; 47L07; 54D10.
Key words and phrases. S-cone; Scott topology; Support point; Bishop-Phelps theorem.
* Corresponding author.

The Bishop-Phelps theorem [3] is a fundamental theorem in functional analysis which has many applications in the geometry of Banach spaces and optimization theory (for instance see [4]). The classical Bishop-Phelps Theorem states that "the set of support functionals for a closed bounded convex subset B of a real Banach space X is norm dense in X^* and the set of support points of B is dense in the boundary of B" [3]. We give an analogue for semitopological cones.

The work on Hahn-Banach-type theorems has found application in theoretical computer science, *viz.* the study of powerdomains. It was a pleasant surprise that the separation theorems found application in this development and we anticipate that so too will the domain-theoretic Bishop-Phelps theorem given here.

2. Preliminaries

The following definitions and basic concepts are taken from [8], [**?**], [2] and [7].

Let B be a nonempty subset of a real Banach space X and f be a nonzero continuous linear functional on X. If f attains either its maximum or its minimum over B at the point $x \in B$, we say that f supports B at x and that x is a *support point* of B.

For subsets A of a partially ordered set P we use the following notations:

$$\downarrow A =_{def} \{x \in P | x \leq a \ for \ some \ a \in A\},$$
$$\uparrow A =_{def} \{x \in P | x \geq a \ for \ some \ a \in A\}.$$

It is called that A is a lower or upper set, if $\downarrow A = A$ or $\uparrow A = A$, respectively.

We denote by $\mathbb{R}_+$ the subset of all nonnegative reals. Further, $\overline{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$and $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ = \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{+\infty\}$. Addition, multiplication and the order are extended to $+\infty$ in the usual way. In particular, $+\infty$ becomes the greatest element and we put $0 \cdot (+\infty) = 0$.

According to [8], a *cone* is a set C, together with two operations $+ : C \times C \to$ C and $\cdot : \mathbb{R}_+ \times C \to C$ and a neutral element $0 \in C$, satisfying the following laws for all $v, w, u \in C$ and $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}_+$:

$$0 + v = v, \qquad 1v = v,$$
$$v + (w + u) = (v + w) + u, \qquad (\lambda\mu)v = \lambda(\mu v),$$
$$v + w = w + v, \qquad (\lambda + \mu)v = \lambda v + \mu v,$$
$$\lambda(v + w) = \lambda v + \lambda w.$$

An *ordered cone* C is a cone endowed with a partial order $\leq$ such that the addition and multiplication by fixed scalars $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$ are order preserving, that is, for all $x, y, z \in C$ and all $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$:

$$x \leq y \Rightarrow x + z \leq y + z \ \ and \ \ rx \leq ry.$$

Let us recall that a *linear function* from a cone $(C, +, \cdot)$ to a cone $(C', +, \cdot)$ is a function $f : C \to C'$ such that $f(v + w) = f(v) + f(w)$ and $f(\lambda v) = \lambda f(v)$, for all $v, w \in C$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

A subset D of a cone C is said to be *convex* if for all $u, v \in D$ and $\lambda \in [0,1], \lambda u + (1-\lambda)v \in D$. The convex closure of a set D is defined to be the smallest convex set containing D.

For example, $(\mathbb{R}_+)^n$ is a cone, with the coordinate-wise operations. On $\mathbb{R}_+$, the cone order is just the usual order $\leq$ of the reals. On $(\mathbb{R}_+)^n$, it is the coordinate-wise order.

Recall that a partially ordered set $(A, \leq)$ is called directed if for every $a, b \in A$ there exits $c \in A$ with $a, b \leq c$. A partially ordered set $(D, \leq)$ is called a directed complete partial order (*dcpo*) if every directed subset A of D has a least upper bound in D. The least upper bound of a directed subset A is denoted by $\sqcup^{\uparrow} A$, and it is also called the directed supremum, or sometimes the limit of A.

In any partially ordered set P, the way-below relation $x \ll y$ is defined by: $x \ll y$ iff, for any directed subset $D \subset P$ for which $\sup D$ exists, the relation $y \leq \sup D$ implies the existence of a $d \in D$ with $x \leq d$. The partially ordered set P is called continuous if, for every element y in P, the set $\downarrow y =_{def} \{x \in P | x \ll y\}$ is directed and $y = \sqcup^{\uparrow} \downarrow y$

The basic notion is that of a *Scott continuous* function: A function f from a partially ordered set P to a partially ordered set Q is called Scott continuous if it is order preserving and if, for every directed subset D of P which has a least upper bound in P, the image $f(D)$ has a least upper bound in Q and $f(\sqcup^{\uparrow} D) = \sqcup^{\uparrow} f(D)$.

Let D be a partially ordered set. A subset A is called Scott closed if it is a lower set and is closed under supremum of directed subsets, as far as these suprema exist. Complements of closed sets are called Scott open; they are the elements of σ_D, the *Scott-topology* on D.

In a continuous partially ordered set C, the set $\uparrow x$ is open for all x. More generally, for every subset E of C, the subset $\uparrow E$ is open in σ_D [7, Prop. 5.1.16].

Any T_0-space X comes with an intrinsic order, the specialization order which is defined by $x \leq y$ if the element x is contained in the closure of the singleton $\{y\}$ or, equivalently, if every open set containing x also contains y.

On the extended reals $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ and on its subsets $\mathbb{R}_+$ and $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$ we use the *upper topology*, the only open sets for which are the open intervals $\{s : s > r\}$. This upper topology is T_0, but far from being Hausdorff.

According to [8], a *semitopological cone* is a cone with a T_0-topology such that the addition and scalar multiplication are separately continuous, that is:

$$\begin{aligned} a \mapsto ra : C \to C \qquad & \text{is continuous for every fixed } r > 0, \\ r \mapsto ra : \mathbb{R}_+ \to C \qquad & \text{is continuous for every fixed } a \in C, \\ b \mapsto a + b : C \to C \qquad & \text{is continuous for every fixed } a \in C. \end{aligned}$$

An *s-cone* is a cone with a partial order such that addition and scalar multiplication:

$$(a, b) \mapsto a + b : C \times C \to C, \quad (r, a) \mapsto ra : \mathbb{R}_+ \times C \to C$$

are Scott continuous. An s-cone is called a [b]d-*cone* if its order is [bounded] directed complete, i.e., if each [upper bounded] directed subset has a least upper bound.

Note that every s-cone is a semitopological cone with respect to its Scott topology [8, Prop. 6.3].

Let C be a semitopological cone. The cone C^* of all linear continuous functionals $f : C \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$ are called dual of C.

We shall use the following *separation theorem* [8, Theorem 9.1]: in a semitopological cone C consider a nonempty convex subset A and an open convex subset U. If A and U are disjoint, then there exists a continuous linear functional $f : C \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$ such that $f(a) \leq 1 < f(u)$ for all $a \in A$ and all $u \in U$.

Finally, we shall use the following *strict separation theorem* [8, Theorem 10.5]: let C be a locally convex semitopological cone. Suppose that K is a compact convex set and that A is a nonempty closed convex set disjoint from K. Then there is a continuous linear functional f and an r such that $f(b) \geq r > 1 \geq f(a)$ for all b in K and all a in A.

3. Main results

The purpose of this section is to establish the Bishop-Phelps type theorem for semitopological cones. A cancellative cone (more precisely cancellative asymmetric cone) is a cone C, satisfying the following laws for all $v, w, u \in C$:

$$v + u = w + u \Rightarrow v = w, \qquad (cancellation)$$

$$v + w = 0 \Rightarrow v = w = 0. \qquad (strictness)$$

Remark 3.1. (a_1) Let B be a nonempty Scott closed set in a semitopological cone C. Since $0 \in B$, so for any linear functionals $f : C \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$ we have $f(0) = \inf f(B)$.

(a_2)If B is a nonempty compact set in a semitopological cone C and $f : C \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$ is a continuous map, then there is a element $z \in B$ such that $f(z) = \inf f(B)$ [6, Lemma 3.8]. Since in a semitopological cone, the compact set is not necessarily closed, so the proof of this statement is different from the manner of the classic analysis and the result is not true for supremum (for details see [6]).

Proposition 3.2. *Let B be a nonempty convex open set in a semitopological cone C. If $x \in B$ such that $\lambda x \notin B$, whenever $\lambda < 1$, then there exists a continuous linear functional $f : C \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$ such that $f(x) = \inf f(B)$.*

Proof. Let $x \in B$. By the assumption $\epsilon x \notin B$ for every $0 < \epsilon < 1$. Now for fixed $0 < \epsilon < 1$, the set $A = \{\epsilon x\}$ is nonempty and convex. Therefore, by the separation theorem, there exits a continuous linear functional $f : C \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$ such that $f(\epsilon a) \leq f(b)$ for all $a \in A$ and all $b \in B$, which follows $f(x) = \inf f(B)$. □

Now we focus on suprema instate of infima. Let B be a convex Scott closed set in a semitopological cone C. A point $x \in B$ is called a *c-support point* for B, if there exits a linear Scott continuous functional $f : C \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$ such that $f(x) = \sup f(B)$; such a functional f is said a *c-support functional*.

Remark 3.3. Let B be a convex Scott closed set in a semitopological cone C. Then we have the following facts:

- (b_1) If the set B has a maximum, then any linear continuous functional $f : C \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$ is a support fuctional for B.
- (b_2) If the set B has nonempty interior, then B is an upper set and so, $B = C$.
- (b_3) If C is a d-cone and B is a directed Scott closed set, then $\sqcup^{\uparrow} B \in B$, and so every linear Scott continuous functional is a c-support functional for B.

Now we restrict our attention to the case that B is a nonempty convex Scott closed set with empty interior. To establish the Bishop-Phelps theorem for semitopological cone, we need the following definition:

Let C be a cancellative semitopological cone and $f : C \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$ be a continuous linear functional. For $0 < \delta < 1$ and $d \in C$, we define

$$K(f, \delta, d) = \{x \in C : f(x) < \infty \text{ and } \delta x \leq f(x).d\}.$$

Note that $K(f, \delta, d)$ is a convex subcone of C. Since C is a cancellative cone, so the order $x \sqsubseteq y \Leftrightarrow y \in x + K$, defines a partial order on C, which called the *subcone order* on C. If $x \sqsubseteq y$, then we sometimes also write $x - y$ for the unique element z such that $x + z = y$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let C be a cancellative semitopological cone. Then for every $x, y \in C$ we have*

$$x \sqsubseteq y \ (y \in x + K) \Rightarrow x \leq y \ (\textit{with specialization order}).$$

Proof. Let $x \sqsubseteq y$. For some $z \in K$, $y = x + z$. By the definition of semitopological cone, we know that the function $S : b \mapsto x + b : C \to C$ is continuous. So $S(\overline{\{z\}}) \subset \overline{S(z)}$ and then $x \in \overline{\{y\}}$ and so $x \leq y$. □

Now we investigate the first part of the Bishop-Phelps type theorem for *bd*-cones. Let C be a cancellative cone, we define a partial order on C by $x \preccurlyeq y \Leftrightarrow y \in x + C$, which called the *cone order* on C.

Let X be a partially ordered set, with ordering $\leq$. The specialization ordering of the Scott topology is the original ordering $\leq$ [7, Prop. 4.2.18].

Theorem 3.5. *Let B be a nonempty bounded convex Scott closed set in a cancellative bd-cone C and the specialization order and the cone order on C be the same. Then there exits $m \in B$ such that $B \cap (m + C) = \{m\}$, such an m is also a c-support point for B.*

Proof. It is enough to show that the partially ordered set $(B, \preccurlyeq)$ has a maximal element.

By Zorn's lemma, it suffices to prove that every chain in $(B, \preccurlyeq)$ has an upper bound in B. Let Z be a chain in B. If we let $x_\alpha = \alpha$ for each $\alpha \in Z$, we can identify Z with the increasing net $\{x_\alpha\}$.

Since the net $\{x_\alpha\}$ is directed and C is a *bd*-cone, so $\{x_\alpha\}$ has a supremum, and hence has an upper bound in B. Thus B has a maximal element like m with order of $\preccurlyeq$. It follows

that $B \cap (m + C) = \{m\}$ and so $B \cap (int(m + C)) = \varnothing$. By the separation theorem m is a c-support point. □

Now we introduce the *wd*-cones and prove the Bishop-Phelps type theorem for these cones in general.

Definition 3.6. Let C be a semitopological cone and $d \in C$. The net $\{x_\alpha\}$ is d-Cauchy if for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exits α_0 such that for $\alpha > \beta \geq \alpha_0$ we have $x_\alpha \leq x_\beta + \epsilon d$ and $x_\beta \leq x_\alpha + \epsilon d$.

Note that if $\{x_\alpha\}$ is an increasing net, then it is d-Cauchy for some $d \in C$, if and only if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exits an α_0 such that for $\alpha > \beta \geq \alpha_0$, $x_\alpha \leq x_\beta + \epsilon d$.

In the following, the mean of an order on a semitopological cone will always the specialization order $\leq$, if not specified otherwise.

Definition 3.7. An s-cone C is called a *wd*-cone if each increasing d-Cauchy net for some $d \in C$, has a least upper bound.

Clearly every *bd*-cone is a *wd*-cone. The following example shows that the converse doesn't hold in general.

Example 3.8. Let $C^+[0,1]$ denotes the cone of all continuous functions $f : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}_+$, which is also an ordered cone under the usual pointwise ordering. With using the classic analysis [2, chapter 8], we can show that $C^+[0,1]$ is not a *bd*-cone. Indeed we consider the sequence of piecewise linear function in $C^+[0,1]$ defined by

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n}, \\ -n(x - \frac{1}{2}) & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} < x < \frac{1}{2}, \\ x & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Thus $0 \leq f_n \leq \mathbf{1}$ in $C^+[0,1]$ and is an increasing sequence, where $\mathbf{1}$ is the constant function one, but $\{f_n\}$ does not have a supremum in $C^+[0,1]$. It is easy to see that the functions $(f,g) \mapsto f + g : C^+[0,1] \times C^+[0,1] \to C^+[0,1]$ *and* $(r,f) \mapsto rf : \mathbb{R}_+ \times C^+[0,1] \to C^+[0,1]$ are Scott continuous. So $C^+[0,1]$ is an s-cone. Furdermore, $C^+[0,1]$ is a continuous s-cone. Now we show that $C^+[0,1]$ is a *wd*-cone. Let $\{f_\alpha\}$ be an increasing $\mathbf{1}$-Cauchy net in $C^+[0,1]$, where $\mathbf{1}$ is the constant function one. Now it follows that $\{f_\alpha\}$ is a norm Cauchy net. Since $C[0,1]$ is a Banach space, so the net $\{f_\alpha\}$ is norm-convergent; say to some f. That means $f_\alpha(x) \to f(x)$ for each $x \in [0,1]$. Thus $\sup_\alpha f_\alpha(x) = f(x)$ for each $x \in [0,1]$. It follows that $\sup f_\alpha = f$ and $f \in C^+[0,1]$.

Lemma 3.9. *Let f be a Scott continuous linear functional on a cancellative wd-cone C, and let $0 < \delta < 1$ and $d \in C$ be given. If B is a nonempty convex bounded Scott closed subset of C, then for each $b \in B$ there exits $m \in B$ satisfying $B \cap (m + K(f, \delta, d)) = \{m\}$ and $b \sqsubseteq m$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to show that the partially ordered set $(B_b = \{y \in B : y \geq b\}, \sqsubseteq)$ has a maximal element.

By Zorn's Lemma, it suffices to prove that every chain in $(B_b, \sqsubseteq)$ has an upper bound in B_b. Let Z be a chain in B_b. If we let $x_\alpha = \alpha$ for each $\alpha \in Z$, we can identify Z with the increasing net $\{x_\alpha\}$.

Let x_α and x_β be two elements of the net. Without lose of the generality, we can suppose that $x_\alpha \sqsubseteq x_\beta$. So there exits $k \in K$ such that $x_\beta = x_\alpha + k$ and $\delta k \leq f(k).d$. Therefore, $\delta x_\beta \leq \delta x_\alpha + (f(x_\beta) - f(x_\alpha))d$. By the boundedness of B and Scott continuity of f, it follows that $f(x_\alpha)$ is a bounded net, and so $f(x_\alpha)$ is convergent, hence is a Cauchy net. It is easy to see that the net $\{x_\alpha\}$ is a directed d-Cauchy and so has a supremum, say x (with specialization order), that means $\sup_{\leq} x_\alpha = x$. Now fix $\beta \in Z$, so we have $x_\beta \sqsubseteq x_\alpha$ for $\beta \sqsubseteq \alpha$. Thus $\delta x_\alpha \leq (f(x_\alpha) - f(x_\beta)).d + \delta x_\beta$, which follows that $\delta x \leq (f(x) - f(x_\beta)).d + \delta x_\beta$. Hence $x_\beta \sqsubseteq x$ and the element x is an upper bound for $(\{x_\alpha\}, \sqsubseteq)$. Since B is a Scott closed set, so $x \in B$. It follows that $x \in B_b$, and hence $(B_b, \sqsubseteq)$ has a maximal element; say m. Therefore $B \cap (m + K) = \{m\}$ and $b \sqsubseteq m$. □

Lemma 3.10. *Let f be a Scott continuous linear functional on a cancellative continuous wd-cone C. Suppose $0 < \delta < 1$ and $d \in C$ are given. If B is a nonempty convex Scott closed subset of C and $m \in B$, such that $B \cap (m + K(f, \delta, d)) = \{m\}$, then $B \cap \uparrow (m + K(f, \delta, d) \backslash \{0\}) = \varnothing$.*

Proof. Note that $\uparrow (m + K\backslash\{0\})$ is a nonempty Scott open set. Let $x \in B \cap \uparrow (m + K\backslash\{0\})$. Then there exits a $k \in K\backslash\{0\}$ suth that $m + k \ll x$, therefore $m + k \in B$. By the assumption $m + k = m$, so $k = 0$. This leads to a contradiction. □

Applying the separation theorem and Lemmas 3.9 and 3.10, we conclude the main result of Bishop-Phelps type theorem for *wd*-cones.

Theorem 3.11. *Let B be a nonempty convex d-bounded Scott closed set for some $d \in C$ in a cancellative continuous locally convex wd-cone C. Then we have:*

(c_1) Fix $\epsilon > 0$. For each $x_0 \in B$, such that $\lambda x_0 \notin B$ whenever $\lambda > 1$, there exist a Scott continuous linear functional $f : C \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$ and an $m \in B$ such that $f(m) = \sup f(B)$ and $x_0 \leq m \leq x_0 + \epsilon d$.

(c_2) For each Scott continuous linear functional $f : C \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$, there exists a c-support functional h for B such that $0 \leq h \leq f$ on a subcone of C.

Proof. (c_1) Let $x_0 \in B$ satisfies the conditions of the theorem, then $(1 + \epsilon)x_0 \notin B$. Now we take $E = \uparrow (1 + \epsilon)x_0$. E is a compact set, so by the strict separation theorem, cited in Section 2, there exists a Scott continuous linear functional g such that $g(b) < (1 + \epsilon)g(x_0)$ for all $b \in B$. Since B is bounded, the function g can be chosen such that $g(B) \leq 1$. Now, let $0 < \delta < 1$, by Lemma 3.9 there exits an $m \in B$ satisfying $B \cap (m + K(g, \delta, d)) = \{m\}$, $x_0 \sqsubseteq m$ and $x_0 \leq m$. Hence, $\delta(m - x_0) \leq g(m - x_0).d$. Therefore, $\delta(m - x_0) \leq \epsilon g(x_0).d$ and so

$\delta(m-x_0) \leq \epsilon.d$. By Lemma 3.10, $B \cap \uparrow (m+K\backslash\{0\}) = \varnothing$. Applying the separation theorem, there exits a Scott continuous linear functional $f : C \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$ such that $f(b) \leq f(w)$ for all $b \in B$ and all $w \in \uparrow (m+K\backslash\{0\})$. By the continuity of wd-cone C, we have $f(b) \leq f(m+k)$ for all $b \in B$ and all $k \in K\backslash\{0\}$, and so $f(B) \leq f(m)$ for all $b \in B$. Hence $\sup f(B) = f(m)$.

(c_2) Let f be a Scott continuous linear functional and let $0 < \delta < 1$. We consider the subcone $K = K(f, \delta, d)$. By Lemmas 3.9 and 3.10 there exists an $m \in B$ such that $B \cap \uparrow (m+K(f,\delta,d)\backslash\{0\}) = \varnothing$. So by the separation theorem there exists a Scott continuous linear functional $h : C \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$ satisfying $h(b) \leq h(m+c)$ for all $b \in B$ and $c \in K(f, \delta, d)$. This implies that h attains its maximum. It follows that $h(\delta c) \leq f(c).h(d)$. The number δ and the element d can be taken to have $h(d) = \delta$. Thus $0 \leq h \leq f$ on a subcone of C.

□

Let us illustrate the above theorem with some examples:

Example 3.12. (d_1) Let $C = \overline{\mathbb{R}}^2_+$ and $B = \{(x, y) \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^2_+; x + y \leq 1\}$. Then B is a convex Scott closed set which has no any maximum. It can be easily checked that the c-support points of B is the set $\{(x, y) \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^2_+; x + y = 1\}$.

(d_2) Let ℓ_1^+ be the set of sequences in $\mathbb{R}_+$ of bounded sum and ℓ_∞^+ be the set of bounded sequences in $\mathbb{R}_+$. Then both ℓ_1^+ and ℓ_∞^+ are cancellative continuous bd-cones. For $d = (d_1, d_2, ...) \in \ell_1^+$, the set

$$B_d = \{x = (x_1, x_2, ...) \in \ell_1^+ \quad : \quad x \leq d\}$$

is a bounded Scott closed set in ℓ_1^+ that has a maximum, so any linear Scott continuous functional $f : \ell_1^+ \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$ takes its supremum on B_d at the point d. Observe that the set of c-support points of B_d is

$$\{x \in \ell_1^+ \ : \ \exists f \in (\ell_1^+)^* \ s.t. \ f(x) = f(d)\}.$$

One can check that $\ell_\infty^+ \subset (\ell_1^+)^*$. Let z belong to $D = \{x = (x_1, x_2, ...) \in B_d \ : \ for\ some\ i,\ x_i = d_i\}$. If we take $a = (a_1, a_2, ...) \in \ell_\infty^+$, such that $a_i = 0$ whenever $z_i \neq d_i$, then a is a c-support functional and z is a c-support point for B_d. Hence, D is the set of c-support points of B_d.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank Prof. K. Keimel for his valuable suggestions and comments.

References

1. S. Abramsky and A. Jung, *Domain theory. In S. Abramsky, D. M. Gabbay, and T. S. E. Maibaum, editors, Handbook of Logic in Computer Science*, Clarendon Press, 3, 1994, 1–168
2. C. D. Aliprantis, *Infinite Dimensional Analysis: A Hitchhiker's Guide* , Springer; 3rd editions, 2007.
3. E. Bishop and R. R. Phelps , *The support functionals of a convex set*, Convexity, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 7, 1963, 27–35.
4. M. Fabian and others, *Banach Space Theory: The Basis for Linear and Nonlinear Analysis*, Springer, 2010.

5. *G. Gierz and K. H. Hofmann and K. Keimel and J. D. Lawson and M. Mislove and D. S. Scott*, Continuous Lattices and Domains, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Cambridge University Press, 93, 2003.
6. J. Goubault-Larrecq, *A cone theoretic Krein-Milman theorem*, Rapport derecherche LSV-08-18, ENS Cachan, France, 2008.
7. J. Goubault-Larrecq, *Non-Hausdorff Topology and Domain Theory: Selected Topics in Point-Set Topology*, Cambridge University Press, 2013.
8. K. Keimel, *Topological Cones: Functional Analysis in a T_0-Setting* , Semigroup Forum, 77, 2008, 109–142.
9. K. Keimel and W. Roth, *Ordered cones and approximation* , Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1517, 1992.
10. J. D. Lawson, *Domains, integration and positive analysis*, Math. Structures Comput. Sci., 14, 6, 2004, 815–832.
11. G. Plotkin, *A Domain-Theoretic Banach-Alaoglu Theorem*, Math. Structures Comput. Sci., 16, 2, 2006, 299–311.
12. W. Roth, *Hahn-Banach type theorems for locally convex cones*, J. Aust. Math. Soc., 68, 1, 2000, 104-125.
13. W. Roth, *Operator-valued measures and integrals for cone-valued functions*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1964, 2009.
14. W. Roth, *Separation Properties for Locally Convex Cones*, J. Convex Anal., 1, 9, 2002, 301–307.
15. R. Tix, *Some results on Hahn-Banach type theorems for continuous d-cones*, Theor. Comput. Sci. , 264, 2001, 205-218.
16. R. Tix, *Continuous d-cones: convexity and powerdomain constructions*, PhD thesis, Technische Universität Darmstadt. Shaker, Aachen, 1999.
17. R. Tix and K. Keimel and G. Plotkin, *Semantic domains combining probability and nondeterminism*, Electron. Notes Theor. Comput. Sci., 129, 2005, 1–104.

[1,2]Department of Mathematics,
Sahand University of Technology, Tabriz, Iran.
E-mail address: [1] esadeqi@sut.ac.ir
E-mail address: [2] a_hassanzadeh@sut.ac.ir

[3]Department of Pure Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences,
University of Tabriz, Tabriz, Iran.
E-mail address: ranjbari@tabrizu.ac.ir